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#### Abstract

Let $A$ be a three-dimensional abelian variety defined over a number field $K$. Using techniques of group theory and explicit computations with MAGMA, we show that if $A$ has an even number of $\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ rational points for almost all primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of $K$, then there exists a $K$-isogenous $A^{\prime}$ which has an even number of $K$-rational torsion points. We also show that there exist abelian varieties $A$ of all dimensions $\geq 4$ such that $\# A_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ is even for almost all primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of $K$, but there does not exist a $K$-isogenous $A^{\prime}$ such that $\# A^{\prime}(K)_{\text {tors }}$ is even.


## 1. Introduction

Given an abelian variety $A$ defined over a number field $K$, the Mordell-Weil theorem asserts that $A(K)$ is a finitely-generated abelian group. Moreover, we can estimate the size of the torsion subgroup $A(K)_{\text {tor }}$ by using the fact that there exists an injective homomorphism $A(K)[m] \hookrightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$, where $A(K)[m]$ is the $K$-rational kernel of the multiplication-by- $m$ isogeny, and $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime of good reduction such that $\mathfrak{p} \nmid m$. This provides us with the basic divisibility property $\# A(K)[m] \equiv 0\left(\bmod \# A_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right)$, relating the torsion subgroup of $A(K)$ and the $\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$-points of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for almost all primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$. On the other hand, it is not clear whether the converse holds. The relevant question, originally posed by Lang and investigated by Katz in [7], is the following:
Question 1. Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer and $S$ a set of good primes for $A$ of density 1. If $\# A_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \equiv 0(m)$ for all $p \in S$, does there exist a $K$-isogenous $A^{\prime}$ such that $\# A^{\prime}(K)_{\text {tor }} \equiv 0(m)$ ?

In [7], Katz showed that Lang's question has a positive answer when $A$ is an elliptic curve, and in the special case $m=\ell$ is prime, for two-dimensional abelian varieties. However, he constructs explicit counterexamples for all odd primes $\ell$ in all dimensions greater than 2 . In this paper, we revisit Question 1 for the prime $\ell=2$ when $A$ is three-dimensional (the first case where the answer is unknown) and obtain the following result:
Theorem 1. Let $A$ be a three-dimensional abelian variety defined over a number field $K$. If $\# A_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ is even for almost all primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of $K$, then there exists a $K$-isogenous $A^{\prime}$ such that $\# A^{\prime}(K)_{\text {tors }}$ is even.

It is natural to ask whether Theorem 1 can be extended to abelian varieties of dimension greater than 3. It turns out that such a generalization is not possible; Serre constructed a counterexample in dimension 4, and we have generalized this to all dimensions greater than 4. In particular, we show in Section 6 that there exist abelian varieties $A$ of all dimensions $\geq 4$ such that $\# A_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ is even for almost all primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of $K$, but there does not exist a $K$-isogenous $A^{\prime}$ such that $\# A^{\prime}(K)_{\text {tors }}$ is even.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Serre for providing us with the counter-example in dimension 4 and helpful comments. We would also like to thank the referee for providing us with the proof in the Appendix, and Berger and Wong for useful discussions. Computations with Magma were performed at http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/calc.

## 2. Background and Setup

The proof of Theorem 1 amounts to determining whether the subgroups of the finite simple group $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$ satisfy certain representation-theoretic properties. In this section we collect the relevant information about

[^0]$S_{6}(2)$ which will be used in the paper, and outline the proof of Theorem 1.
For an abelian variety $A$ of dimension $n$ over a perfect field $K$, the $\ell$-adic Tate module $T_{\ell}(A)=$ $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\ell} / \mathbf{Z}_{\ell}, A(\bar{K})\right)$ is a free $\mathbf{Z}_{\ell}$-module of rank $2 n$, where $\bar{K}$ is a fixed algebraic closure of $K$. The $\bmod \ell$ representation $\overline{\rho_{\ell}}: \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(T_{\ell}(A) \otimes \mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2 n}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)$ is the representation-theoretic formulation of the natural action of $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)$ on the $\bar{K}$-valued points of $A$ of order $\ell$. The vector space $T_{\ell}(A) \otimes \mathbf{F}_{\ell}$ is equipped with a non-degenerate, skew-symmetric, Galois-invariant pairing (the Weil pairing) [5, p. 133], hence $\operatorname{im} \overline{\rho_{\ell}} \leq \operatorname{Sp}_{2 n}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\ell}\right)$. Our approach uses a reformulation of Question 1, when $m$ is a prime number $\ell$, due to Katz in [7, p. 483]:

Question $2\left(\bmod \ell\right.$ version of Question 1). If for all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)$ we have $\operatorname{det}\left(I-\overline{\rho_{\ell}}(\sigma)\right)=0$ in $\mathbf{F}_{\ell}$, does the semisimplification of $T_{\ell}(A) \otimes \mathbf{F}_{\ell}$ contain the trivial representation?

We now take $K$ to be a number field and the dimension of $A$ to be 3 . Set $\ell=2$, so that im $\overline{\rho_{2}} \leq \operatorname{Sp}_{6}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$. It is known that $[8$, p. 25$] \operatorname{Sp}_{6}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$ is isomorphic to the finite simple group $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$. Let $G \leq \mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$ denote the image of the mod 2 representation. In Question 2 , the condition on $G$ is that each of its elements have 1 as an eigenvalue in its (natural) degree-6, $\mathbf{F}_{2}$-representation. We call such subgroups fixed-point subgroups of $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$. The proof of Theorem 1 comes down to the fact that for every fixed-point subgroup $G$ of $S_{6}(2)$, the module $\mathbf{F}_{2}[G]$ has a trivial Jordan-Hölder factor, hence our strategy is composed of two basic steps:

Step 1: Identify the fixed-point subgroups of $S_{6}(2)$.
Step 2: Compute the Jordan-Hölder series $\mathbf{F}_{2}[G]$.
Any element of $S_{6}(2)$ lying in a 2-power conjugacy class necessarily has all of its eigenvalues equal to 1 , hence we are interested only in the eigenvalues of the odd-order conjugacy classes. For those, we refer to the Brauer character table of $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$ in characteristic 2 [6, p. 110]:

|  |  | 1451520 | 160 | 648 | 108 | 30 | 7 | 9 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ind | $1 A$ | $3 A$ | $3 B$ | $3 C$ | $5 A$ | $7 A$ | $9 A$ | $15 A$ |
| $\phi_{1}$ | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\phi_{2}$ | - | 6 | 3 | -3 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -2 |
| $\phi_{3}$ | + | 8 | -4 | -1 | 2 | -2 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| $\phi_{4}$ | + | 14 | 2 | 5 | -1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 2 |
| $\phi_{5}$ | + | 48 | -12 | 3 | 0 | -2 | -1 | 0 | -2 |
| $\phi_{6}$ | + | 64 | 4 | -8 | -2 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 |
| $\phi_{7}$ | + | 112 | -8 | -5 | -2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| $\phi_{8}$ | + | 512 | -16 | 8 | -4 | 2 | 1 | -1 | -1 |

The splitting field of $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$ is $\mathbf{F}_{2}$, hence the characteristic polynomials of the odd-order conjugacy classes of $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$ in its natural $\mathbf{F}_{2}$-representation are simply the reductions modulo 2 of the lifted $\mathbf{C}$-valued polynomials, which are obtained as in the case of ordinary representation theory. By expressing the elementary symmetric polynomials in terms of the power-sum polynomials [ 9 , p. 15], and then reducing modulo 2 , we obtain the characteristic polynomials of the odd-order classes:

| Class | Characteristic Polynomial |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1 A$ | $(x-1)^{6}$ |
| $3 A$ | $x^{6}+x^{5}+x^{4}+x^{2}+x+1$ |
| $3 B$ | $x^{6}+x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+1$ |
| $3 C$ | $x^{6}+1$ |
| $5 A$ | $x^{6}+x^{5}+x+1$ |
| $7 A$ | $x^{6}+x^{5}+x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1$ |
| $9 A$ | $x^{6}+x^{3}+1$ |
| $15 A$ | $x^{6}+x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+1$ |

It is now easy to check that the conjugacy classes $3 B, 7 A, 9 A$, and $15 A$ do not afford 1 as an eigenvalue. Therefore, any fixed-point subgroup $G$ of $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$ must be a proper subgroup of $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$ with odd-order classes of type $1 A, 3 A, 3 C$, or $5 A$.

We organize our search for the fixed-point subgroups $G$ as follows. Since $G \leq \mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$ is proper, it must be contained in some maximal subgroup of $S_{6}(2)$. We will show that no maximal subgroup of $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$ is a fixed-point subgroup, whence $G$ must lie in (at least) a "level-2" maximal subgroup of $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$. We iterate this process until all fixed-point subgroups are found.

## 3. The Subgroup Structure of $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$

Since our proof relies heavily on the subgroup structure of $S_{6}(2)$, we use this section to record its maximal subgroups, as well as some basic results which will be used throughout the paper. We use AtLas notation, e.g. $\mathrm{U}_{n}(q)$ for $\mathrm{PSU}_{n}\left(\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}\right)$, and $\mathrm{L}_{n}(q)$ for $\mathrm{PSL}_{n}\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$.

| Maximal Subgroups of $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{( 2 )}$ | Index |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{U}_{4}(2): 2$ | 28 |
| $S_{8}$ | 36 |
| $\mathrm{U}_{3}(3): 2$ | 120 |
| $2^{6}: \mathrm{L}_{3}(2)$ | 135 |
| $\left(2^{1+4} \times 2^{2}\right):\left(S_{3} \times S_{3}\right)$ | 315 |
| $S_{3} \times S_{6}$ | 336 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{2}(8): 3$ | 960 |

Definition 1. Any fixed-point subgroup of $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$ whose semisimplification does not contain the trivial representation will be called an obstruction.

Lemma 1. A cyclic fixed-point subgroup of $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$ cannot be an obstruction.
Proof. If $G=\langle g\rangle$ is a fixed-point subgroup, then there exists $v \in \mathbf{F}_{2}^{6}$ such that $g \cdot v=v$. Since $G$ is cyclic, this means all of $G$ acts trivially on the line spanned by $v$, corresponding to a trivial Jordan-Hölder factor in the semisimplification of $\mathbf{F}_{2}[G]$.

Lemma 2. A fixed-point subgroup of $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$ must have index divisible by 7 .
Proof. The characteristic polynomial of the class $7 A$ of $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$ does not vanish at 1 , hence no element of order 7 in $S_{6}(2)$ can have 1 as an eigenvalue.

Definition 2. The semisimplification type of a representation $V$ of a finite group $G$ is the vector whose entries are the dimensions of the Jordan-Hölder factors of $V$.

Since there are no non-trivial irreducible representations of a $p$-group in characteristic $p$, it follows that the semisimplification type of a 2-subgroup of $S_{6}(2)$ is $(1,1,1,1,1,1)$. We therefore have the following lemma.

Lemma 3. Every 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$ is a fixed-point subgroup, but not an obstruction.

## 4. The Role of magma

A polynomial over a field $k$ has 1 as a root if and only if its coefficients sum to 0 in $k$. Therefore, $G$ is a fixed-point subgroup of $S_{6}(2)$ if and only if the characteristic polynomial of each conjugacy class has an even number of terms. We use the computer program MAGMA to determine the fixed-point subgroups as follows:

```
Step 1 C:=ConjugacyClasses (G);
    for i:=1 to #C do
    print CharacteristicPolynomial(C[i] [3]);
    end for;
```

Now suppose $G$ is a fixed-point subgroup of $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$ with generators $a, b, \ldots c$. The following code will determine the composition factors of $G$ :

```
Step 2 A:=MatrixAlgebra<GF(2), 6 | a,b,..., c>;
    M:=RModule(A);
    B:=CompositionFactors(B);
    B;
```

Remark. Since any one-dimensional composition factor over $\mathbf{F}_{2}$ is automatically trivial, it suffices to show that the semisimplification of every fixed-point subgroup of $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$ contains a one-dimensional factor.

Our approach is iterative, hence we require a compact, easy-to-read notational scheme to present our results. Given a subgroup $G$ of $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$ which is not a fixed-point subgroup, we look to its maximal subgroups for fixed-point subgroups. Whenever one is found, the Magma code of Section 4 will show that the semisimplification type has a " 1 ".

Let $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}$ be the maximal subgroups of $G$, ordered so that $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}$ are fixed-point subgroups, and $G_{k+1}, \ldots, G_{n}$ are not; let $s s_{i}$ denote the semisimplification type of $G_{i}$ We illustrate this in the following table:

| Maximal Subgroup |  |  | Fixed-Point | Semisimplification Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}$ |  |  | Y | $s s_{1}, \ldots s s_{k}$ |
| $G_{k+1}$ |  |  | N | - |
| Maximal Subgroup | Fixed-Point | Semisimplification Type |  |  |
| $G_{(k+1)_{1}}, \ldots, G_{(k+1)_{k}}$ | Y | $s^{(k+1)_{1}}, \ldots, s s_{(k+1)_{k}}$ |  |  |
| $G_{(k+1)_{k+1}}$ | N | - |  |  |
| $G_{k+2}$ |  |  | N | - |

The table is iterative in the sense that for each maximal subgroup which is not a fixed-point subgroup, we present its subgroup data in its entry in the table. Owing to the amount of data involved, we only present our results for two of the maximal subgroups of $S_{6}(2)$, namely $\mathrm{L}_{2}(8): 3$ and $S_{8}$. The rest of the data can be found at the website http://math.bard.edu/cullinan/groupdata.pdf. Moreover, the data presented is only for conjugacy classes of subgroups, since conjugate subgroups have the same semisimplification types.

Remark. If a subgroup $H$ of $S_{6}(2)$ is not a fixed-point subgroup, and has the property that all of its subgroups are either cyclic or 2 -groups, then we do not investigate its maximal subgroup structure since it cannot contain an obstruction.

In the following section we provide some examples of the output of the computer search.

## 5. Examples

5.1. Subgroups of $L_{2}(8): 3$. The group $L_{2}(8)$ has three Brauer Characters of degree 2 which fuse to form the single degree-6 Brauer Character of $L_{2}(8): 3$. The order of $L_{2}(8): 3$ is divisible by 7 , hence it cannot be an obstruction. The maximal subgroup structure of $\mathrm{L}_{2}(8): 3$, along with its semisimplification data, displayed in the following table:


There are no novel subgroups of $L_{2}(8): 3$ (in the sense of [3, p. xix]), whence the omission of $L_{2}(8)$ from the table above.
5.2. Subgroups of $S_{8}$. The order of $S_{8}$ is divisible by 7 , so $S_{8}$ is not a fixed-point subgroup of $S_{6}(2)$. The maximal subgroups [3, p. 22] of $S_{8}$, along with their semisimplification data, are as follows:

| Maximal Subgroup of $\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | F-P | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2^{4}: S_{4}, S_{6} \times S_{2}, S_{4} \backslash S_{2}$ | Y | $(1,1,2,2),(1,1,4),(1,1,4)$ |
| $A_{8}, S_{7}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}(7): 2,2^{3}: \mathrm{L}_{2}(7), S_{5} \times S_{3}$ | N | - |

We now provide a case-by-case description of the non-fixed-point groups, starting with $S_{7}$. The alternating group $A_{7}$ is a maximal subgroup of $S_{7}$, but we only include data for its subgroups isomorphic to $\mathrm{L}_{2}(7)$ since they fuse in $S_{7}$ to form the novel subgroup 7:6. All other subgroups of $A_{7}$ are ordinary and are therefore subsumed by the maximal subgroups of $S_{7}$.

| Maximal Subgroup of $\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathbf{7}}$$S_{6}, S_{5} \times S_{2}, S_{4} \times S_{3}$ |  |  |  | F-P | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Y | (1,1,4), (1,1,4), (1,1,2,2) |
| 7:6 |  |  |  | N | - |
| Z/6 |  | Y | (1,1,1,1,2) |  |  |
| $\mathbf{Z / 7 , ~}{ }^{\text {\% }}$ |  | N | - |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{2}(7)$ |  |  |  | N | - |
| $S_{4}, S_{4}$ | Y |  | ,2,2), (1,1,2,2) |  |  |
| 7:3 | N |  | - |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{2}(7)$ |  |  |  | N | - |
| $S_{4}, S_{4}$ | Y | (1, | ,2,2), (1,1,2,2) |  |  |
| 7:3 | N |  | - |  |  |

Next we consider the subgroup $\mathrm{L}_{2}(7): 2$ of $S_{8}$. The two maximal subgroups of $\mathrm{L}_{2}(7)$ isomorphic to $S_{4}$ fuse to form the novel maximal subgroups $D_{8}$ and $D_{6}$ of $\mathrm{L}_{2}(7): 2$. The semisimplification data for $\mathrm{L}_{2}(7): 2$ is given by the following:

| Maximal Subgroups of $\mathbf{L}_{2}(\mathbf{7}): \mathbf{2}$ | F-P | Type |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D_{8}, D_{6}$ |  | Y | $(1,1,1,1,1,1),(1,1,2,2)$ |  |
| $7: 6$ |  |  | N | - |
| $\mathbf{Z} / 6$ | Y | $(1,1,1,1,2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{Z} / 7, D_{7}$ | N | - |  |  |
| $S_{4}, S_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |

The maximal subgroup $S_{5} \times S_{3}$ of $S_{8}$ intersects the conjugacy class $15 A$ of $S_{6}(2)$, hence is not a fixed-point subgroup. The subgroup data is as follows:



We end with the subgroups of $A_{8}$ which give rise to the novel subgroups $2^{4}: S_{4}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2}(7): 2$ of $S_{8}$, namely the two subgroups isomorphic to $2^{3}: \mathrm{L}_{2}(7)$. The data for each group is identical, so we only present it once.


## 6. Higher-Dimensional Abelian Varieties

Recall that Katz proved Question 1 has a positive answer when $A$ has dimension 1, that Question 2 has a positive answer when $A$ has dimension 2, and that counter-examples to Question 2 (and therefore Question 1) exist in all dimensions greater than 2 , provided $\ell \neq 2$.

The natural question is whether or not Theorem 1 is valid for abelian varieties of arbitrary dimension. The answer is no: Serre has provided the following example of a eight-dimensional mod-2 representation for which every element has a fixed-point, but whose Jordan-Hölder series does not contain the trivial representation [10].

The simple group $\mathrm{SL}_{3}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$ has an irreducible 8-dimensional symplectic representation (the Steinberg representation). The characteristic polynomials of the odd-order classes of $\mathrm{SL}_{3}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$ are as follows:

| Class | Characteristic Polynomial |
| :--- | :--- |
| $3 A$ | $x^{8}+x^{7}+x^{6}+x^{2}+x+1$ |
| $7 A$ | $x^{8}+x^{7}+x+1$ |
| $7 B$ | $x^{8}+x^{7}+x+1$ |

Each polynomial clearly has 1 as a root and the Steinberg representation is irreducible, hence the semisimplification does not contain the trivial representation.

To see there exists an abelian variety with the prescribed mod 2 representation, start with a 4 -dimensional abelian variety $A$ over $\mathbf{Q}$ such that $\operatorname{im} \overline{\rho_{\ell, A}}=\operatorname{Sp}_{8}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$. Extend the base field $\mathbf{Q}$ to the fixed-field $K$ of
$\mathrm{SL}_{3}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$. By Galois theory, the image of the $\bmod 2$ representation is $\mathrm{SL}_{3}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$. Thus Serre's example produces a counter-example to Question 1.

We can easily extend Serre's construction to produce counter-examples in all dimensions $\geq 4$. For every even integer $n \geq 2$, there is an embedding of classical groups

$$
\mathrm{Sp}_{(n-m)} \times \mathrm{Sp}_{m} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{n}
$$

whenever $m$ is even and $2 \leq m<n / 2$ [8, Prop. 4.1.3]. Writing $n=8+2 \cdot(n-8) / 2$, we see that the embedding

$$
\mathrm{SL}_{3}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right) \times \underbrace{\mathrm{Sp}_{2}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{Sp}_{2}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)}_{(n-8) / 2} \leq \operatorname{Sp}_{8}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right) \times\left[\operatorname{Sp}_{2}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)\right]^{(n-8) / 2} \leq \operatorname{Sp}_{n}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)
$$

clearly gives rise to a fixed-point subgroup of $\operatorname{Sp}_{n}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$ without a trivial Jordan-Hölder factor. As stated in the Introduction, this shows that there exist abelian varieties $A$ of all dimensions $\geq 4$ such that $\# A_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ is even for almost all primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of $K$, but there does not exist a $K$-isogenous $A^{\prime}$ such that $\# A^{\prime}(K)_{\text {tors }}$ is even.

## Appendix A. A Group-Theoretic Proof

Our basic strategy for the proof was first to use theoretical considerations to reduce the original problem to a finite computation, and then to demonstrate how a computer algebra package can be used to perform this computation. This technique was employed in several instances by the author in [4]. Upon submission of the paper, the referee suggested an alternative argument that replaces the the computer algebra portion of the finite calculation with arguments from group theory and geometric algebra. We would like to take this opportunity to thank the referee and to present a sketch of their argument.

We start by recalling some facts from finite group theory; see [1] for details. Given a finite group $\mathcal{G}$ with order divisible by $p$, let $O^{p}(\mathcal{G})$ be the smallest normal subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathcal{G} / O^{p}(\mathcal{G})$ is a $p$-group, and let $O_{p}(\mathcal{G})$ be the largest normal $p$-subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$. The Fitting Subgroup $F(\mathcal{G})$ of $\mathcal{G}$ is the largest nilpotent normal subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$, and is isomorphic to the direct products of all the $O_{p}(\mathcal{G})$. Now let $V=\mathbf{F}_{2}^{6}$, and let $H \leq S_{6}(2)$ be an obstruction of minimal order.
Lemma 4. The group $H$ cannot have a 2-group as a quotient, so that $H=O^{2}(H)$.
Proof. A 2-group acts unipotently on $V$, hence it's Jordan-Hölder series has trivial factors. Since $H$ is an obstruction, this is impossible.

A Sylow-3 subgroup $S$ of $S_{6}(2)$ has order 81 and is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z} / 3 \backslash \mathbf{Z} / 3 \simeq(\mathbf{Z} / 3 \times \mathbf{Z} / 3 \times \mathbf{Z} / 3) \rtimes \mathbf{Z} / 3$. Let $T$ be a Sylow-3 subgroup of $H$.

Lemma 5. The group $T$ is elementary abelian of order dividing 9, and is a proper subgroup of $H$.
Proof. Any central element of $S$ lies in its elementary abelian subgroup $(\mathbf{Z} / 3)^{3}$ and has no fixed points, hence $T$ is a proper subgroup of $S$. Moreover, if $T$ contains any element of $S$ outside ( $\mathbf{Z} / 3)^{3}$, plus any nontrivial element of $(\mathbf{Z} / 3)^{3}$, then $T$ contains a central element of $S$ and cannot be an obstruction. Hence \#T divides 9. It is easy to check that $H$ has no elements of order 9 , so $T$ must be elementary abelian. It is clear that no elementary abelian subgroup of $S_{6}(2)$ of order 3 or 9 is an obstruction, hence $T$ is a proper subgroup of $H$.

Lemma 6. The group $H$ has even order.
Proof. Otherwise, $\# H$ divides $3^{4} \cdot 5$, so either has a normal 3 -subgroup or 5 -subgroup. Since $H$ does not meet the conjugacy class $15 A$ of $S_{6}(2)$, it must be the case that $H$ is a 3 -group or cyclic of order 5 . By the preceding argument, $H$ cannot be a 3 -group, and by Lemma $1, H$ cannot be cyclic.

Since $T$ is elementary abelian of order dividing 9 , its Jordan-Hölder factors have dimension either 1 or 2. Moreover, since $T$ is not an obstruction it must be the case that $T$ fixes a subspace of $V$ of even dimension. This observation will be used in the following lemma.

Lemma 7. If $N$ is a normal solvable subgroup of $H$, then $N$ is a 2-group.
Proof. Suppose $N$ is a minimal normal solvable subgroup of $H$ which is not a 2-group. Then $N=O_{2}(N) P$, where $P$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group. By the Frattini argument, $H=N N_{H}(P)=O_{2}(N) N_{H}(P)$. Since the Jordan-Hölder factors of $O_{2}(N)$ are all trivial, $H$ and $N_{H}(P)$ have isomorphic factors, hence we can assume $H=N_{H}(P)$.

Since $P$ is either cyclic of order 5 , or an elementary abelian 3 -group, it fixes a nondegenerate (hence even-dimensional) subspace of $V$. The normalizer stabilizes this decomposition, whence $V=A \perp B$ with $A$ of dimension 2. Hence

$$
H \leq \operatorname{Sp}_{2}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right) \times \operatorname{Sp}_{4}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right) \simeq S_{3} \times S_{6}
$$

By Lemma $4, H$ is in fact a subgroup of $\mathbf{Z} / 3 \times A_{6}$ and cannot be an obstruction due to the orthogonal decomposition of the representation. Indeed, if $H$ is a direct-product subgroup of $\mathbf{Z} / 3 \times A_{6}$, then it cannot be an obstruction since the fixed-points of $H$ are composed of the fixed-points of the projections. Therefore the projection $\Pi$ of $H$ onto $A_{6}$ must have $\mathbf{Z} / 3$-quotient (Goursat's Lemma [2, p. 864]). An analysis of the subgroups $\Pi$ of $A_{6}$ with this property reveals that no such $H$ can be an obstruction.

A similar argument shows that $H$ must act irreducibly on $V$ (this involves analyzing the stabilizers of both nondegenerate and totally singular decompositions of $V$ ). Therefore $O_{2}(H)$ is trivial. Since $F(H)$ is the product of the $O_{p}(H), p=2,3,5$, it follows from the lemmas above that $F(H)$ is trivial also. Thus the product of the minimal normal subgroups is a direct product of simple groups. A simple factor cannot have fixed-points, hence by minimality $H$ is must be simple.

Therefore, any obstruction $H$ must be a simple subgroup of $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$ of index divisible by 7 , possessing an elementary abelian subgroup of order dividing 9 , and having an irreducible degree- $6 \mathbf{F}_{2}$-representation. One can check (via Magma or the Atlas [3]) that the only non-trivial, proper, simple subgroups of $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$ are $A_{5}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}(7), A_{6}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}(8), A_{7}, \mathrm{G}_{2}(2)^{\prime}, A_{8}$, and $\mathrm{U}_{4}(2)$. Of these, only $A_{5}, A_{6}$, and $\mathrm{U}_{4}(2)$ have index divisible by 7 and possess an elementary abelian subgroup of order dividing 9 . Out of these three groups, only $\mathrm{U}_{4}(2)$ has a six-dimensional, irreducible, $\mathbf{F}_{2}$-representation $[6$, p. $2,4,60]$. The associated irreducible character takes on the value -3 on the each of the classes $3 A$ and $3 B^{\star \star}$ [ $6, \mathrm{p} .60$ ], hence $\mathrm{U}_{4}(2)$ meets the conjugacy class $3 B$ of $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$. By the remark at the end of Section $2, \mathrm{U}_{4}(2)$ is not a fixed-point subgroup. Therefore, $\mathrm{S}_{6}(2)$ contains no obstructions.
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