## Pentahedral Volume, Chaos, and Quantum Gravity

At the Planck scale, a quantum behavior of the geometry of space is expected. Loop gravity provides a specific realization of this expectation: It predicts a granularity of space with each grain having a quantum behavior. In particular, the volume of a grain of space is quantized and has a discrete spectrum with a rich structure. [1]


## Introduction

I show that chaotic classical dynamics associated to the volume of discrete grains of space leads to quantal spectra that are gapped between zero and nonzero volume. This strengthens the connection between spectral discreteness in the quantum geometry of gravity and tame ultraviolet behavior. I complete a detailed analysis of the geometry of a pentahedron, providing new insights into the volume operator and evidence of classical chaos in the dynamics it generates. These results reveal an unexplored realm of application for chaos in quantum gravity. [2]

## Dynamical polyhedra

Minkowski proved in 1897 that the shape of a convex polyhedron is determined by its area vectors $\left\{\vec{A}_{\ell}\right\}$,
$\left|\vec{A}_{\ell}\right|=$ area of face $\ell ; \hat{A}_{\ell}=$ normal to face $\ell$, satisfying closure,

$$
\overrightarrow{A_{1}}+\cdots+\vec{A}_{N}=0
$$

His proof was not constructive, and given the area vectors it is a challenge to build a polyhedron.
If we interpret area vectors physically as angular momenta, then polyhedra become dynamical systems with the usual Poisson brackets

$$
\left\{A_{\ell}^{i}, A_{\ell}^{j}\right\}=\epsilon_{k}^{i j} A_{\ell}^{k}
$$

Any function of the area vectors can be taken as a Hamiltonian; here we study the pentahedral volume

$$
H=V_{\mathrm{pent}}\left(\vec{A}_{\ell}\right)
$$



## Pentahedral phase diagram

Remarkably, the $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ parameters completely determine the adjacency of a given set of 5 area vectors. For example, carrying out the algebra for a 53-pentahedron leads to a $\gamma^{\prime}$ scaling, $\gamma^{\prime}=1 / \gamma$ and hence, because $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma>1$, the 54 - and 53 -pentahedra can't both be
 constructable. Conintuing with this logic a pentahedral phase diagram can be built [see (i)].
This allows you to solve the problem of building a pentahedron given its area vectors [2]. The different adjacency classes are connected to each other by Pachner moves [see (ii) for a schematic].


## Quantum chaos

Chaotic quantum systems generically exhibit level repulsion: the probability $P(s)$ of a level spacing $s$ rapidly goes to zero with the level spacing.

- Degenerate levels are supressed

By contrast, integrable systems have Poisson level statistics with the probability of small spacing enhanced.


## Configuration space, equi-area pentahedron



Numerical evidence from [1] and [3] suggests that the classical volume evolution generated by the Hamiltonian $H=V_{\text {pent }}$ is chaotic.
For example, the local Lyupanov exponents of panel a) clearly show that the boundaries between adjacency regions [see b)]are unstable.

Panel c) illustrates that the contours of constant volume, and hence the volume evolution, frequently cross over adjacency boundaries. Note that the smallest physical volumes occupy a small region of the phase space.

The level repulsion of chaotic quantum systems together with the small phase space available at low volumes, i.e. low density of states at small volume, yields our main conclusion: a volume gap is robust in loop gravity.

## Conclusions

These results uncover a new mechanism leading to a volume gap in the spectrum of quantum gravity: the level repulsion of chaotic quantum systems. The generic presence of a volume gap strengthens the expected ultraviolet finiteness of quantum gravity theories built on spectral discreteness. I find:

- Robust volume gap due to: chaos \& low density of states at low volume
- Pentahedral volume dynamics implies quantum volume states are spread over adjacencies
- Loop gravity continues to give physical cutoffs at the Planck scale
[1] E. Bianchi and H.M. Haggard, PRL 107, (011301) 2011, [2] H.M. Haggard, PRD 87, (044020) 2013, [3] C. Coleman-Smith and B. Müller, PRD 87, (044047) 2013, [4] Detailed talk on website (see link below)

