## Lecture Notes

September 1st, 2011

Dear Class,

These lecture notes are intended to help you review the material covered in the course. They are not an ideal replacement for attending lecture. The act of writing your own notes, thinking through what is being said and actively questioning it will, I believe, be a huge part of your learning experience. You can do this with lecture notes but it is generally aided by interaction with other people. Come to lecture!

If you have comments on these notes or suggestions for their improvement, always feel free to contact me: hal "usual at" berkeley.edu.

Enjoy!

Hal

Why begin with OSCI Mations?

Our world is permeated by oscillations, from the giolding Sway of tree branches to the bumpy ride of the 52 bus. The mathematics of oscillations runs reacher deeper than the Mechanical examples that come immediately to mind. Why are useillations so gameric? Physical systems are other well described by a Potential energy:  $U(X)$ This potentiel is closely<br>related conce ptually to

 $P2/5$ 

Physical examples surrount

Mathemetical machinery is invaluable throughout Physics: Electronics to Quenture Field Theory.

un can ser a force as arising From a desire to minimize potential energy  $\mu$  $\overbrace{\phantom{aaaaa}}^{\phantom{aaaaa}}$ Matternatically the force is captured by towards decreasing

Equilibrium is when there is no net force or when  $F = 0$  =  $\frac{dV}{dx}$ This is also the condition for an& extreminar (maximum, Miniarum of inflection) of the Potential.  $\frac{U(x)}{x}$ Oscillations arise around Stable equilibria! A small displacement (in either direction) pushes the system back towards the equilibrium position there's a restoring force.  $U(x)$  $\overbrace{\phantom{a}}^{a}$ 

A maximum of the potential 3/5 energy is on <u>unstable</u> equilibrium, Find en by  $\frac{d^2U}{dx^2}$  < 0  $\left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{dU}{dx} = c \\ \frac{dV}{dx} = c \end{array}\right)$ A minimum is a stable<br>equilibrium, Find en by  $\frac{d^2U}{dx^2} > 0$  $d^2y/dx^2 = 0$  second derivated fails. We can Say More, Taylor expand the potential near an equilibrium  $\psi(x) = x \psi(x_0) + \psi'(x_0) + \frac{1}{2} \psi''(x_0)$  $(\overline{x-x_{0}})^{2}$  $U(x) = U(o) + U(y^2)(x) + \frac{1}{2}U''(o)x^2$  $(\bigcup \langle x \rangle$ 

 $P4/\epsilon$ Compare this to the We've shown that potential energy of a<br>Spring  $U(x) = \frac{1}{2}kx^2$  $k = U''(\chi_{e^{\lambda}u^{\lambda}})$ for positive  $U''(\text{x}_{\text{e}\text{gull.}})$ No metter what shape your What does the spring constant potential has it Looks like tell as geometrically? The width of the potential à himaric oscillator neur  $\frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{s^{k}} \frac{1}{s^{k}} \frac{1}{s^{k}} \xrightarrow{\forall} s^{k} \frac{1}{s^{k}} \xrightarrow{\forall} s^{k}$ its mining (as long as  $\mathfrak{U}^{\prime\prime}$ 1skipped this example due to  $D:4$  this,  $U(x) = \int_{1}^{x} dx$   $\int \omega y dx = \int \frac{1}{2}y$ KExample: time constraints. Counter Example: Bouncy Ball  $\frac{w}{1}$   $\frac{1}{1}$   $\frac{1}{1}$   $\frac{1}{1}$   $\frac{1}{1}$   $\frac{1}{1}$   $\frac{1}{1}$   $\frac{1}{1}$   $\frac{1}{1}$ Wy)<br>Below 1<br>Frederick Can't do<br>Fotalited Can't do<br>is as Taylor  $\frac{1}{r} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{r}} \mathcal{X}^{2} \left( \frac{1}{r} \int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi \left( \frac{2 \tilde{z}}{r} \right)^{2} \right)$  $\left( = \frac{1}{3} \left( \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^3 - \left( - \frac{1}{2} \right)^3 \right) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{3}$  $= \frac{1}{6} \rho \omega \gamma \chi$ expairsion because  $y = mx$ it's not differentiale  $M = \frac{E}{2} \left( \frac{B}{2} \right)$ I hope to have convinced  $y = \frac{2z}{g}x$ you that oscillations are everywhere.

Course

 $\mathbf{e}$ 

$$
F = ma = -kx
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ma = -kx
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ma = -kx
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ka + kx = -kx
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ka + 2kx = -kx
$$
  
\nWe will learn many  
\ntschroque's for writing down  
\n
$$
kx = 3
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ka + 2kx = 2
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ka + 2kx = 2
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ka + 2kx = -kx = -kx
$$
  
\n
$$
kx = 3x^2e^{2x} = -kx = -kx
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ka + 2kx = -kx = -kx
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ka + 2kx = -kx = -kx
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ka + 2kx = -kx = -kx
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ka + 2kx = -kx = -kx
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ka + 2kx = -kx = -kx
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ka + 2kx = -kx = -kx
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ka + 2kx = -kx = -kx
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ka + 2kx = -kx = -kx
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ka + 2kx = -kx = -kx
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ka + 2kx = -kx = -kx
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ka + 2kx = -kx = -kx
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ka + 2kx = -kx = -kx
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ka + 2kx = -kx = -kx
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ka + 2kx = -kx = -kx
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ka + 2kx = -kx = -kx
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}ka
$$

Solution

\n
$$
\chi(t) = \text{grad}(\text{int} \, t \, \text{d}t) = \text{grad}(\text{int} \, t \, \text{d}t)
$$
\n
$$
C_1 e^{i\omega t} + C_2 e^{-i\omega t}
$$

turned a

differential

Corbinary diff. 
$$
eg
$$
. (ODE): only  
\nordinary derivatives

\nPartial diff.  $eg$ . (PDE): partial  
\nderivatives

\nOrder of diff.  $ag$ : The highest derivative,

\nMost impactant method of Solutions

\nis the "Standard or a guess"

\n $x(t) = e^{At}$ 

Thus is generally true -  
\n
$$
2^{nd}
$$
 orders  $d^n{}_t f^n$  -  $e^{q}$  has  
\n $a$  general solutions depends  
\non two constants.  
\n $P u_{\#} y s \cdot ca^{(l)} y \cdot t$  means that  
\n $y \cdot a \cdot b \cdot b \cdot b \cdot d$   
\n $f^{(l)} u \cdot f \cdot b \cdot d$   
\n $f^{(m)} u \cdot f \cdot f \cdot d$   
\n $f^{(m)} u \cdot f \cdot f$   
\n $f^{(m)} u \cdot f \cdot f$   
\n $f^{(m)} u \cdot f \cdot f$   
\n $f^{(m)} u \cdot f \cdot f$ 

 $\dot{\chi}(\circ) = i\omega C_1 - i\omega C_2$ .