

Lect 10

I last time

II Observable determinate states

(or eigenstates of an hermitian operator)

III Applications: t -independent Schrödinger E_g and stationary states

hermitian: iff $\hat{Q}^\dagger = Q$.

• Studied determinate states

$$\sigma^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle (\hat{Q} - q) \Psi | (\hat{Q} - q) \Psi \rangle = 0$$

But, then

$$\hat{Q} \Psi = q \Psi$$

Eigenvalue equation

Ψ is an eigenstate of \hat{Q}

I We argued that

Observable s are represented by Hermitian operators

• Useful characterization always true

$$\text{Adjoint: } \langle f | \hat{Q} g \rangle = \langle \hat{Q}^\dagger f | g \rangle$$

q is an eigenvalue of \hat{Q}

Determinate states are eigenfunctions of \hat{Q}

II Let's put these two ideas together.

called the spectrum of \hat{Q}

discrete set of $E_{g_i}, i=1, \dots, n$

"discrete spectrum"

Two important cases

continuous spectrum

discrete spectrum: Two theorems about hermitian operators

and $\frac{p2}{4}$

Thm 1: The e -values are real

Pf: suppose $\hat{Q}f = \epsilon f$

and $\langle \hat{Q}f | f \rangle = \langle f | \hat{Q}f \rangle$

Then, $\langle \epsilon f | f \rangle = \langle f | \epsilon f \rangle$

Thm 2: E-functions for distinct ϵ are orthogonal

Pf: suppose

$\hat{Q}f = \epsilon f$ and $\hat{Q}g = \epsilon' g$

Then

$\langle f | \hat{Q}g \rangle = \langle \hat{Q}f | g \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \epsilon' \langle f | g \rangle = \epsilon \langle f | g \rangle$

$\epsilon^* \langle f | f \rangle = \epsilon \langle f | f \rangle$

But $\langle f | f \rangle \neq 0$ and so

$\epsilon = \epsilon^* \Rightarrow \boxed{\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}}$

[Aside: suppose $\epsilon = x + iy$ the

$\epsilon = \epsilon^* \Rightarrow x + iy = x - iy$

$\Rightarrow x = x$ and $y = -y \Rightarrow y = 0$
 $\Rightarrow \epsilon = x$ is real.]

But by assumption ϵ and ϵ' are distinct, so, $\epsilon \neq \epsilon' \Rightarrow$

$\boxed{\langle f | g \rangle = 0}$

Note that this theorem tells us nothing about distinct f and g having equal ϵ . But, the Gram-Schmidt procedure allows you to construct an

So along with Dirac P3/4
 We take it as an
 axiom:

The e-functions of an
 observable operator are
complete.

Continuous Spectrum:

The e-functions in this
 case are not normalizable!

doesn't live in Hilbert Space.

Nevertheless,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{p'}^*(x) f_p(x) dx = |A|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(p-p')x/k} dx$$

← Dirac delta function

$$\rightarrow = |A|^2 2\pi k \delta(p-p')$$

We'll show Wed.

$$\delta(p-p') = \begin{cases} \infty & p=p' \\ 0 & p \neq p' \end{cases} \text{ or } \delta(x) = \begin{cases} \infty & x=0 \\ 0 & x \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

and such that $\int \delta(x) dx = 1$

orthonormal basis amongst all
 e-functions with equal e-values.

Thm 3: If $\dim \mathcal{H} = \text{finite} \neq$
 then the eigenvectors of any
 hermitian \hat{Q} span all of \mathcal{H} .

There is no such thm. in the
 infinite dimensional context —
 but, this is required for quantum theory.

Nevertheless we can proceed:

Ex. Find the e-functions and

e-values of \hat{p} , call 'em f_p .
 \hat{p} e-value of \hat{p}
 $\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f_p(x) = p f_p(x)$



General solution

$f_p(x) = A e^{ipx/k}$
 — not normalizable and hence

Then if we take $A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}}$

$$f_p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} e^{ipx/\hbar}$$

and

$$\langle f_p | f_{p'} \rangle = \delta(p - p')$$

This is ~~an~~ actually similar to ~~orthogonal~~ Dirac orthonormality — it's a sort of continuum version. — Dirac orthonormality.

Notice the pleasant convergence of our ideas

$$f_p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} e^{ipx/\hbar}$$

is a plane wave with

$$\lambda = \frac{2\pi\hbar}{p} = \frac{h}{p}$$

We have a new appreciation for these subtle eigenfunctions and they're not in the Hilbert space.

Even better the f_p are $P^4/4$ complete:

$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c(p) f_p(x) dp = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c(p) e^{ipx/\hbar} dp$$

and

$$\langle f_p | f \rangle = c(p)$$

shows tomorrow.

If the spectrum of a hermitian \hat{Q} is continuous the e-functions are not normalizable, and don't live in \mathcal{H} . Nonetheless they are Dirac orthonormalizable and complete.

III let's return to

~~$$\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial t} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2} + V \Psi$$~~