

I last time

Quantum Mechanics April 20th, 2015

II All things H

III More than one quantum object: bonding cuts

Lect 34

I completed the derivation of the hydrogen atom wavefunctions

Let's reassemble all the pieces!

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = H\Psi$$

with $\Psi = \Psi(\vec{r}, t)$

$$\Rightarrow \Psi_n = \psi_n(r, \theta, \phi) e^{-iE_nt/\hbar}$$

$$P_l^m(x) = (1-x^2)^{1/2} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^l P_l(x)$$

$$P_l(x) = \frac{1}{2^l l!} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^l (x^2 - 1)^l$$

and $P_l(x) = \frac{1}{2^l l!} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^l (x^2 - 1)^l$

$$\psi_n(r, \theta, \phi) = R(r) Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)$$

For the radial piece we have found

$$Y_l^m(\theta, \phi) = e^{\frac{(2\ell+1)(\ell-1)!}{4\pi} \int_0^\pi P_l^m(\cos\theta) d\theta} = e^{\frac{(2\ell+1)(\ell-1)!}{4\pi} \int_0^\pi P_l^m(\cos\theta) d\theta}$$

leads to

with $\rho = kr$, $k = \frac{\sqrt{-2mE}}{\hbar}$ and

$$U(\rho) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} C_j \rho^j$$

$$\text{here } C_{l+1} = \frac{2(l+\lambda+1-n)}{(l+j)(l+j+2)} C_j$$

$$\text{and } j_{\max} = n-\lambda-1.$$

Note then that the radial wave

known as radial function set

$$U(\rho) = L_{n-\lambda-1}(z, \rho)$$

with

$$L_{n-\lambda}^p(\rho) = (-1)^p \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^p \log(x)$$

$$\text{and } \log(x) = e^x \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^6 (e^{-x} x^6)$$

the associated

Legendre polynomials.

functions are labelled $P^{2/5}$
 by two quantum numbers
 n , the principle quantum #, and
 λ , the azimuthal quantum #;

$$R(r) = R_{nl}(r)$$

In fact the series (*)

with coeff. s is a well

known power series cut

$$E_n = - \left[\frac{\pi^2}{2k^2} \left(\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \right)^2 \right] \frac{1}{n^2}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} p=2l+1 \\ q=n+l \end{array} \right\}$$

$$L_{q-p}^p(\rho) = (-1)^p \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^p \log(x)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} n=1, 2, 3, 4, \dots \\ l=0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots \end{array} \right.$$

the Bohr radius is

$$a = \frac{k^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} = 0.529 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}$$

and

$$E_n = - \left[\frac{\pi^2}{2m} \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \right] \frac{1}{n^2}$$

The wave functions are

$$\psi_{nlm} = \left[\frac{(2)}{na} \right]^3 \frac{(n-l-1)!}{2^n [(n+l)!]^3} e^{-\alpha r} \left(\frac{\alpha r}{na} \right)^l \left[L_{n-l-1}^{2l+1} \left(\frac{\alpha r}{na} \right) \right] Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)$$

Pretty glorious!

I say you want to combine one spin, say that of an electron, with a second one, e.g. the proton spin. These two states come from different Hilbert spaces, call 'em \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 . The combined system has four possibilities $\uparrow\uparrow$, $\uparrow\downarrow$, $\downarrow\uparrow$, $\downarrow\downarrow$. What is the total angular momentum of the atom (say the e is in ground state)?

Here we denote the combined system state by juxtaposition of all states. The space of such states is denoted $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$.

We can check this using the combined operators

$$S_x = S_x^{(1)} + S_x^{(2)}$$

acts on χ_1

$$S_z = S_z^{(1)} + S_z^{(2)}$$

acts on χ_2

$$S = S^{(1)} + S^{(2)}$$

acts on χ_1, χ_2

Then

$$\uparrow\downarrow : m=1$$

$$\uparrow\downarrow : m=0$$

$$\uparrow\downarrow : m=-1$$

$$\uparrow\downarrow : m=1$$

Why are there two m=0? So, it is thus stable that we should think of $s=1, m=0$ states? Cool surprise,

So, if the combined state is $\chi = \chi_1 \chi_2$ then

$$S_x \chi_1 \chi_2 = (S_x^{(1)} + S_x^{(2)}) \chi_1 \chi_2$$

$$= (S_x^{(1)} \chi_1) \chi_2 + \chi_1 (S_x^{(2)} \chi_2)$$

$$= \kappa_m \chi_1 \chi_2 + \kappa_m \chi_1 \chi_2$$

$$= \kappa_m (\overbrace{\chi_1 \chi_2}^{\text{collide}}) \chi_1 \chi_2$$

$$\text{Let } S_- = S_-^{(1)} + S_-^{(2)}$$

$$S_- \uparrow\uparrow = (S_-^{(1)} \uparrow) \uparrow + \uparrow (S_-^{(2)} \uparrow)$$

$$= \kappa_{\uparrow\uparrow} (\uparrow\uparrow \chi_1 \chi_2)$$

So, it is thus stable that we should think of $s=1, m=0$.

PHS

This leads us to collect

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \begin{array}{l} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle &= \uparrow\uparrow \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \downarrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \downarrow \end{array} \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\uparrow\downarrow + \downarrow\uparrow) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{triplet} \\ \text{singlet} \end{array} \right\} \\ \left| \begin{array}{l} \downarrow \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle &= \downarrow\downarrow \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \downarrow \downarrow \\ \uparrow \uparrow \end{array} \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\uparrow\uparrow - \downarrow\downarrow) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S=0 \\ \text{singlet} \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$