

Answers to Practice Problems

Practice Problems from Textbook:

Chapter 4:

4.1.1. (1), (3), and (6) are functions.

4.1.3. (i) and (iv) represent functions.

4.2.1. (1) $[-5, \infty)$

(3) $(3, \infty)$

4.3.1. (1) $(f \circ g)(x) = e^{\sin x}$

$$(g \circ f)(x) = \sin(e^x)$$

(2) $(f \circ g)(x) = x^{-21}$

$$(g \circ f)(x) = x^{-21}$$

(3) $(f \circ g)(x) = x$

$$(g \circ f)(x) = x$$

(4) $(f \circ g)(x) = \lceil x \rceil$

$$(g \circ f)(x) = \lfloor x \rfloor$$

4.3.2. (1) $g(x) = x + 7$

$$h(x) = \sqrt[3]{x}$$

(2) $g(x) = \sqrt[3]{x}$

$$h(x) = x + 7$$

(3) One such pair of functions h and g is:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} x^3, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \\ x^2, & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases} \quad h(x) = x^2$$

(4) One such pair of functions h and g is

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} x^3, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \\ x/2, & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases} \quad h(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \\ 2x, & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

4.4.1. (1) **Theorem.** Let $t: (1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $t(x) = \ln x$ for all $x \in (1, \infty)$. The function t is injective. It is not surjective.

Proof. First, we will show that t is injective. Let $x, y \in (1, \infty)$. Suppose that $t(x) = t(y)$. Then $\ln(x) = \ln(y)$. Thus, $x = y$, so t is injective.

Note that there is no $x \in (1, \infty)$ such that $t(x) = -1$ since $\ln(x)$ is always positive for $x > 1$. Thus, t is not surjective. \square

(2) **Theorem.** Let $s: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $s(x) = x^4 - 5$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The function s is neither injective nor bijective.

Proof. Note that $s(1) = -4$ and $s(-1) = -4$. Thus, s is not injective. Also, note that there is no $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $s(x) = -7$. Thus, s is not surjective. \square

(3) **Theorem.** Let $g: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ be defined by $g(x) = \frac{x}{1+x}$ for all $x \in [0, \infty)$. The function g is both injective and surjective.

Proof. First, we will show that g is injective. Let $x, y \in [0, \infty)$. Suppose that $g(x) = g(y)$. Then $\frac{x}{1+x} = \frac{y}{1+y}$. Cross-multiplying, we have that $x(1+y) = y(1+x)$. Thus, $x + xy = y + xy$. Subtracting xy from both sides, we get that $x = y$. Thus, g is injective.

Next, we will show that g is surjective. Let $y \in [0, 1)$. Let $x = \frac{y}{1-y}$. (Note that x exists since $0 \leq y < 1$.) Then, $g(x) = g\left(\frac{y}{1-y}\right) = \frac{y/(1-y)}{1+y/(1-y)}$. If we multiply the top and bottom of this fraction by $1-y$, we get:

$$g(x) = \frac{y}{1-y+y} = \frac{y}{1} = y$$

Thus, g is surjective. \square

(4) **Theorem.** Let $k: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $k((x, y)) = x^2 + y^2$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The function k is neither injective nor surjective.

Proof. Note that $k((-1, 0)) = 1$ and $k((1, 0)) = 1$. Thus, k is not injective. Also, note that there is no $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $k((x, y)) = -1$, since $x^2 + y^2$ is always positive. Thus, k is not surjective. \square

(5) **Theorem.** Let $Q: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ be defined by $Q(n) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The function Q is injective. It is not surjective.

Proof. First, we will show that Q is injective. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $Q(a) = Q(b)$. Then, $\{1, 2, \dots, a\} = \{1, 2, \dots, b\}$. Since these two sets are equal, we must have $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, b\}$ and $b \in \{1, 2, \dots, a\}$. Thus, it must be the case that $1 \leq a \leq b$ and $1 \leq b \leq a$. Since $a \leq b$ and $b \leq a$, it follows that $a = b$. Thus, Q is injective.

Note that the set $\{2\}$ is in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$, but there is no $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $Q(n) = \{2\}$. Thus, Q is not surjective. \square

- 4.4.2. (1) Injective, not surjective. (3) Injective, not surjective.
 (2) Injective and surjective. (4) Injective and surjective.

Chapter 5:

- 5.1.3. (1) Symmetric, not reflexive and not transitive.
 (2) Reflexive and transitive, not symmetric.
 (3) Transitive, not reflexive and not symmetric.
 (4) Reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.
 (5) Symmetric, not reflexive and not transitive.
 (6) Not reflexive, not symmetric, and not transitive.
 (7) Reflexive and transitive, not symmetric.

5.2.1. (1), (4), and (5) are true. (2) and (3) are false.

- 5.2.2. (1) $x = [5]$ (4) $x = [2]$ or $[7]$
 (2) $x = [9]$ (5) $x = [4]$
 (3) no solutions

5.2.3. One example is $n = 5$, $a = 2$, $b = 3$. Then $a^2 = 4$ and $b^2 = 9$, and $4 \equiv 9 \pmod{5}$ but $2 \not\equiv 3 \pmod{5}$.

5.3.1 (1), (3), (5), (6) are equivalence relations

Chapter 6:

6.3.1 (3) **Theorem.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $1^3 + 2^3 + \cdots + n^3 = \frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4}$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on n .

Base Case If $n = 1$, then both sides of the equation are equal to 1, so the formula holds in this case.

Induction Step Now suppose that the formula holds for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1^3 + 2^3 + \cdots + n^3 + (n+1)^3 &= \frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4} + (n+1)^3 \\ &= \frac{n^2(n+1)^2 + 4(n+1)^3}{4} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)^2(n^2 + 4(n+1))}{4} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)^2(n^2 + 4n + 4)}{4} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)^2(n+2)^2}{4} \end{aligned}$$

so the formula holds for $n+1$ as well.

Therefore, by induction, the formula holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

(4) **Theorem.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $1^3 + 3^3 + \cdots + (2n-1)^3 = n^2(2n^2-1)$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on n .

Base Case If $n = 1$, then both sides of the equation are equal to 1, so the formula holds in this case.

Induction Step Now suppose that the formula holds for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 1^3 + 3^3 + \cdots + (2n - 1)^3 + (2(n + 1) - 1)^3 &= n^2(2n^2 - 1) + (2(n + 1) - 1)^3 \\
 &= n^2(2n^2 - 1) + (2n + 1)^3 \\
 &= 2n^4 - n^2 + (8n^3 + 12n^2 + 6n + 1) \\
 &= 2n^4 + 8n^3 + 11n^2 + 6n + 1 \\
 &= (n + 1)(2n^3 + 6n^2 + 5n + 1) \\
 &= (n + 1)^2(2n^2 + 4n + 1) \\
 &= (n + 1)^2(2(n + 1)^2 - 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

so the formula holds for $n + 1$ as well.

Therefore, by induction, the formula holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

(6) **Theorem.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n(n + 1)} = \frac{n}{n + 1}$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on n .

Base Case If $n = 1$, then both sides of the equation are equal to $1/2$, so the formula holds in this case.

Induction Step Now suppose that the formula holds for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n(n + 1)} + \frac{1}{(n + 1)(n + 2)} &= \frac{n}{n + 1} + \frac{1}{(n + 1)(n + 2)} \\
 &= \frac{n(n + 2) + 1}{(n + 1)(n + 2)} \\
 &= \frac{n^2 + 2n + 1}{(n + 1)(n + 2)} \\
 &= \frac{(n + 1)^2}{(n + 1)(n + 2)} \\
 &= \frac{n + 1}{n + 2}
 \end{aligned}$$

so the formula holds for $n + 1$ as well.

Therefore, by induction, the formula holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Additional Problems:

1. (a) The range of f is $[3, \infty)$.

$$f([-1, 2]) = [3, 7]$$

$$f^{-1}([-3, 4]) = [-1, 1]$$

- (b) The range of g is $[-8, 10]$.

$$g([1, 2]) = [-2, 1]$$

$$g^{-1}([7, 12]) = [4, 5]$$

- (c) The range of h is $\{3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, \dots\} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \geq 3\}$

$$h(\{1, 2, 3\}) = \{3, 4, 5\}$$

$$h^{-1}(\{1, 3, 5, 7\}) = \{1, 3, 5\}$$

2. The function $f \circ g$ is:

$$(f \circ g)(x) = \begin{cases} 9x^2, & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ x^2, & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

The function $g \circ f$ is:

$$(g \circ f)(x) = \begin{cases} 3x^2, & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ 3x + 15, & \text{if } -5 \leq x < 0 \\ -x - 5, & \text{if } x < -5 \end{cases}$$

3. (a) **Theorem.** Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by $f(x) = 2x + 5$. The function f is bijective.

Proof. First, we will prove that f is injective. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $f(x) = f(y)$. Then $2x + 5 = 2y + 5$. Thus, $2x = 2y$, so $x = y$. Thus, f is injective.

Now, we will prove that f is surjective. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $x = \frac{y-5}{2}$. Then $f(x) = f\left(\frac{y-5}{2}\right) = 2\left(\frac{y-5}{2}\right) + 5 = y - 5 + 5 = y$. Thus, f is surjective. Since f is injective and surjective, f is bijective. □

- (b) **Theorem.** Let $g: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by $g(x) = 1/x$. The function g is injective.

Proof. Let $x, y \in (0, \infty)$. Suppose that $g(x) = g(y)$. Then $1/x = 1/y$. Cross-multiplying, we get that $y = x$. Thus, g is injective. □

The function g is not surjective, because there is no $x \in (0, \infty)$ such that $g(x) = 0$.

- (c) **Theorem.** Let $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be the function defined by $h(x) = x^2 + 1$. The function h is surjective.

Proof. Let $y \in [1, \infty)$. Let $x = \sqrt{y-1}$. (Since $y \geq 1$, we have that $y-1 \geq 0$, so x exists.) Then, $h(x) = h(\sqrt{y-1}) = (\sqrt{y-1})^2 + 1 = (y-1) + 1 = y$. Thus, h is surjective. \square

The function h is not injective, because $h(-1) = 2$ and $h(1) = 2$.

4. (a) $x = [6]$
(b) $x = [4]$
(c) no solutions
(d) $x = [2]$ or $x = [5]$ or $x = [8]$

5. **Theorem.** Let \sim be the relation on $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{(0,0)\}$ defined by $(x,y) \sim (w,z)$ if and only if there exists $k \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ such that $x = kw$ and $y = kz$. The relation \sim is an equivalence relation.

Proof. First, we will show that \sim is reflexive. Let $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 - \{(0,0)\}$. Then, $x = 1 \cdot x$ and $y = 1 \cdot y$, so $(x,y) \sim (x,y)$. Thus, \sim is reflexive.

Next, we will show that \sim is symmetric. Let $(x,y), (w,z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 - \{(0,0)\}$. Suppose that $(x,y) \sim (w,z)$. Then, there exists $k \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ such that $x = kw$ and $y = kz$. Then, $w = (1/k)x$ and $z = (1/k)y$. Since $1/k \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, we have that $(w,z) \sim (x,y)$. Thus, \sim is symmetric.

Finally, we will show that \sim is transitive. Let $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2 - \{(0,0)\}$. Suppose that $(x_1, y_1) \sim (x_2, y_2)$ and $(x_2, y_2) \sim (x_3, y_3)$. Then, there exists $k, j \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ so that $x_1 = kx_2$, $y_1 = ky_2$, $x_2 = jx_3$, and $y_2 = jy_3$. Substituting, $x_2 = jx_3$ into the equation $x_1 = kx_2$, we get that $x_1 = k(jx_3)$. Thus, $x_1 = (kj)x_3$. Substituting $y_2 = jy_3$ into the equation $y_1 = ky_2$, we get that $y_1 = k(jy_3)$. Thus, $y_1 = (kj)y_3$. Since $kj \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, we have that $(x_1, y_1) \sim (x_3, y_3)$. Thus, \sim is transitive. Since \sim is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive, \sim is an equivalence relation. \square

6. (a) **Theorem.** Let \sim be the relation on \mathbb{N} defined by $a \sim b$ if and only if there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a = 2^n b$, for all $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. The relation \sim is an equivalence relation.

Proof. First, we will show that \sim is reflexive. Let $a \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $a = 2^0 a$. Thus, $a \sim a$.

Next, we will show that \sim is symmetric. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $a \sim b$. Then, there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a = 2^n b$. Then, $b = 2^{-n} a$. Since $-n$ is an integer, we have that $b \sim a$. Thus, \sim is symmetric.

Finally, we will show that \sim is transitive. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $a \sim b$ and $b \sim c$. Then there exists $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a = 2^n b$ and $b = 2^m c$. Then, by substitution, $a = 2^n 2^m c$. Thus, $a = 2^{n+m} c$. Since $n + m$ is an integer, $a \sim c$. Thus, \sim is transitive. Since \sim is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive, \sim is an equivalence relation. \square

(b) $[0] = \{0\}$

$$[3] = \left\{ \dots, \frac{3}{2^3}, \frac{3}{2^2}, \frac{3}{2}, 3, 3 \cdot 2, 3 \cdot 2^2, 3 \cdot 2^3, \dots \right\}$$

7. (a) **Theorem.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $2 + 5 + 8 + 11 + 14 + \dots + (3n - 1) = \frac{(n)(3n + 1)}{2}$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on n .

Base Case If $n = 1$, then both sides of the equation are equal to 2, so the formula holds in this case.

Induction Step Now suppose that the formula holds for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 2 + 5 + 8 + \cdots + (3n - 1) + (3(n + 1) - 1) &= \frac{n(3n + 1)}{2} + (3(n + 1) - 1) \\
 &= \frac{n(3n + 1)}{2} + 3n + 2 \\
 &= \frac{n(3n + 1) + 2(3n + 2)}{2} \\
 &= \frac{3n^2 + n + 6n + 4}{2} \\
 &= \frac{3n^2 + 7n + 4}{2} \\
 &= \frac{(n + 1)(3n + 4)}{2} \\
 &= \frac{(n + 1)(3(n + 1) + 1)}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

so the formula holds for $n + 1$ as well.

Therefore, by induction, the formula holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

(b) **Theorem.** *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $2 + 4 + 8 + 16 + \cdots + 2^n = 2^{n+1} - 2$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on n .

Base Case If $n = 1$, then both sides of the equation are equal to 2, so the formula holds in this case.

Induction Step Now suppose that the formula holds for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 2 + 4 + 8 + 16 + \cdots + 2^n + 2^{n+1} &= 2^{n+1} - 2 + 2^{n+1} \\
 &= 2 \cdot 2^{n+1} - 2 \\
 &= 2^{n+2} - 2
 \end{aligned}$$

so the formula holds for $n + 1$ as well.

Therefore, by induction, the formula holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □